

1. Clarifications relating Freedom of Information Request dated [Insert]		
Ref	Clarification	NPC response
1.1	How was the documentation gathered and how can I be assured of the completeness of the document pack?	
1.2	Were the redactions at the sole discretion of the Parish Clerk? If not, who assisted in this process?	
1.3	The Parish Council Website is powered by Netwise, a company who specialise in providing compliant websites and tools to local councils.	
1.3.1	Does NPC also use the Netwise webmail facility to ensure compliance? If not, please confirm the following:-	
1.3.1 (a)	Does NPC use Webmail or email?	
1.3.1 (b)	If NPC use email rather than webmail then how do NPC ensure security and compliance?	
1.3.2 (b)	In the event that NPC does not use Netwise Webmail, then please confirm that NPC use a third party provider for its webmail/email accounts and that any employees of that third party provider has declared their interests should they also be a NPC Councillor. Additionally please confirm that if there is potential for a conflict of interest that the third party employee does not act as administrator of the webmail/email accounts and does not have the facility to access or delete other Councillors email?	

2.	Transparency and Openness for the Future use of St Francis Fields	
2.1	Does the Parish Council believe that the treatment of the incumbent tenant, will deter any other party considering an	

	equestrian “turn key” agreement in the future thus reducing NPC’s options to DIY Livery only?	
2.2	I have now had sight of Councillor Streatfeilds business case for a DIY livery at St Francis Fields, which is based upon 15 horses with a potential to generate an annual gross income of £27,900. The only expenditure appears to be business rates. Please confirm the following :-	
	Clarification	NPC Response
2.2.1	Has NPC established that there are 15 plus horse owners (rather than households thinking it’s a nice idea!) within the parish who registered an interested in using a DIY livery in the Village?	
2.2.2	On the presumption that horse owners will visit SFF a minimum of twice per day in order to tend to their horses, how does NPC mitigate the increased vehicular traffic (30 plus movements) using the access road and access/egress onto the A28 and the close proximity of a bend with planning and Highways?	
2.2.3	How does Councillor Streatfeilds business case account for CAPEX for the building of additional stabling required for the additional horses?	
2.2.4	How does Councillor Streatfeilds business case account for OPEX for book keeping, Insurance, employment costs, Utility costs (Electricity, Water, telephone etc), Machinery fuel and maintenance, Muck heap and waste removal, general maintenance and improvements to grazing, stable maintenance, health and safety costs etc ?	
	Why does the business case assume £35 per week per horse rather than the accepted “industry” benchmark of £25 per week per horse?	
2.2.5	What process does NPC plan to follow to appoint a livery Manager or has this already	

	been decided? Additionally, what is the projected remuneration for a livery Manager (to include hourly rate for daytime and out of hours)?	
2.2.6	How do you intend to regulate worming to protect the land and future horses?	
2.2.7	What contingencies are in place within the business case should the optimum number of horses not be reached and the income is lower than required to service the site without further impact to rate payers?	
2.2.8	The business case is based upon an established stable in Sandhurst (Ringles Green). This business has been running for 20 years plus. Has Councillor Streatfeid produced a year by year (starting with Year 1) projection of costs/revenue or just "fast forwarded" to year 20?	
2.2.9	Has Lena Pearson-Wood agreed to act as a consultant free of charge or for a fee?	